How Democratic Were the Ancient Greeks?

The 2008 World History Course Description of the College Board Advanced Placement Program* lists five themes that it urges teachers to use in organizing their teaching. Each World History Debating the Documents booklet focuses on one or two of these five themes.

The Five Themes

1. **Interaction between humans and the environment.** (demography and disease; migration; patterns of settlement; technology)

2. **Development and interaction of cultures.** (religions; belief systems, philosophies, and ideologies; science and technology; the arts and architecture)

3. **State-building, expansion, and conflict.** (political structures and forms of governance; empires; nations and nationalism; revolts and revolutions; regional, transregional, and global structures and organizations)

4. **Creation, expansion, and interaction of economic systems.** (agricultural and pastoral production; trade and commerce; labor systems; industrialization; capitalism and socialism)

5. **Development and transformation of social structures.** (gender roles and relations; family and kinship; racial and ethnic constructions; social and economic classes)

---

This Booklet’s Main Theme:


---

* AP and Advanced Placement Program are registered trademarks of the College Entrance Examination Board, which was not involved in the production of and does not endorse this booklet.
Multiple, conflicting perspectives are among the truths of history. No single objective or universal account could ever put an end to this endless creative dialogue within and between the past and the present.”

From the 2005 Statement on Standards of Professional Conduct of the Council of the American Historical Association.
The Debating the Documents Series

Each Debating the Documents booklet includes the same sequence of reproducible worksheets. If students use several booklets over time, they will get regular practice at interpreting and comparing conflicting sources. In this way, they can learn the skills and habits needed to get the most out of primary sources.

Each Debating the Documents Booklet Includes:

- **Suggestions for the Student and an Introductory Essay.** The student gets instructions and a one-page essay providing background on the booklet’s topic. A time line on the topic is also included.

- **TWO Groups of Contrasting Primary Source Documents.** In most of the booklets, students get one pair of visual sources and one pair of written sources. In some cases, more than two are provided for each. Background is provided on each source. **Within each group, the sources clash in a very clear way.** (The sources are not always exact opposites, but they do always differ in some obvious way.)

- **Three Worksheets for Each Document Group.** Students use the first two worksheets to take notes on the sources. The third worksheet asks which source the student thinks would be most useful to a historian.

- **CD-ROM.** The ImageXaminer lets students view the primary sources as a class, in small groups, or individually. A folder containing all of the student handouts in pdf format, including a graphic organizer for use with the ImageXaminer’s grid tool, allows for printing directly from the CD.

- **DBQs.** Have students write an effective essay using all of the booklet’s primary sources on one of the document-based questions (DBQs) on page 22.

How to Use This Booklet

All pages in this booklet may be photocopied for classroom use.

1. Have students read “Suggestions for the Student” and the Introductory Essay.

   Give them copies of pages 7–9. Ask them to read the instructions and then read the introductory essay on the topic. The time line gives them additional information on that topic. This reading could be done in class or as a homework assignment.

2. Have students do the worksheets.

   Make copies of the worksheets and the pages with the sources. Ask students to study the background information on each source and the source itself. Then have them take notes on the sources using the worksheets. If students have access to a computer, have them review the primary sources with the ImageXaminer. You may also ask them to
use its magnifying tools to more clearly focus their analysis.

3. “Debate the documents” as a class.

Have students use their worksheet notes to debate the primary source documents as a class. Use the overheads to focus this discussion on each source in turn. Urge students to follow these ground rules:

- Use your worksheets as a guide for the discussion or debate
- Try to reach agreement about the main ideas and the significance of each primary source document
- Look for points of agreement as well as disagreement between the primary sources
- Listen closely to all points of view about each primary source
- Focus on the usefulness of each source to the historian, not merely on whether you agree or disagree with that source’s point of view

4. Have students do the final DBQ.

A DBQ is an essay question about a set of primary source documents. To answer the DBQ, students write essays using evidence from the sources and their own background knowledge of the historical era. (See the next page for a DBQ scoring guide to use in evaluating these essays.)

The DBQ assignment on page 22 includes guidelines for writing a DBQ essay, as well as a second AP-level question. Here are some additional points to make with students about preparing to write this kind of essay.

- Analyze the question carefully
- Use your background knowledge to set sources in their historical context
- Question and interpret sources actively. Do not accept them at face value.
- Use sources meaningfully to support your essay’s thesis
- Pay attention to the overall organization of your essay

The DBQ for this Booklet (see page 22):

“Greek democracy was not ‘flawed.’ It was a tremendous triumph if you view it in the context of its own time in history.” Do you agree or disagree? Explain your answer.
Complete DBQ Scoring Guide

Use this guide in evaluating students’ DBQ essays for this booklet. Use the guide with students who are already familiar with using primary sources and writing DBQ essays. For the AP® World History core scoring rubric, see page 45 of the pdf file at http://apcentral.collegeboard.com/apc/public/repository/ap07_worldhist_coursedesc.pdf

Excellent Essay

- Offers a clear answer or thesis explicitly addressing all aspects of the essay question.
- Does a careful job of interpreting many or most of the documents and relating them clearly to the thesis and the DBQ. Deals with conflicting documents effectively.
- Uses details and examples effectively to support the thesis and other main ideas. Explains the significance of those details and examples well.
- Uses background knowledge and the documents in a balanced way.
- Is well written; clear transitions make the essay easy to follow from point to point. Only a few minor writing errors or errors of fact.

Good Essay

- Offers a reasonable thesis addressing the essential points of the essay question.
- Adequately interprets at least some of the documents and relates them to the thesis and the DBQ.
- Usually relates details and examples meaningfully to the thesis or other main ideas.
- Includes some relevant background knowledge.
- May have some writing errors or errors of fact, as long as these do not invalidate the essay’s overall argument or point of view.

Fair Essay

- Offers at least a partly developed thesis addressing the essay question.
- Adequately interprets at least a few of the documents.
- Relates only a few of the details and examples to the thesis or other main ideas.
- Includes some background knowledge.
- Has several writing errors or errors of fact that make it harder to understand the essay’s overall argument or point of view.

Poor Essay

- Offers no clear thesis or answer addressing the DBQ.
- Uses few documents effectively other than referring to them in “laundry list” style, with no meaningful relationship to a thesis or any main point.
- Uses details and examples unrelated to the thesis or other main ideas. Does not explain the significance of these details and examples.
- Is not clearly written, with some major writing errors or errors of fact.
Suggestions to the Student

Using Primary Sources

A primary source is any record of evidence from the past. Many things are primary sources: letters, official documents, photos, cartoons, stone carvings, coins, wills, maps, charts, etc. They are called “primary” because they are first-hand records of a past event or time period. This Debating the Documents lesson is based on two groups of primary source documents. Within each group, the sources conflict with one another. That is, they express different or even opposed points of view. You need to decide which source is more reliable, more useful, or more typical of the time period. This is what historians do all the time. Usually, you will be able to learn something about the past from each source, even when the sources clash with one another in dramatic ways.

How to Use This Booklet

1. Read the one-page introductory essay.
   This gives you background information that will help you analyze the primary source documents and do the exercises for this Debating the Documents lesson. The time line gives you additional information you will find helpful.

2. Study the primary source documents for this lesson.
   For this lesson, you get two groups of sources. The sources within each group conflict with one another. Some of these sources are visuals; others are written sources. With visual sources, pay attention not only to the image’s “content” (its subject matter), but also to its artistic style, shading, composition, camera angle, symbols, and other features that add to the image’s meaning. With written sources, notice the writing style, bias, even what the source leaves out or does not talk about. Think about each source’s author, that author’s reasons for writing, and the likely audience for the source. These things give you clues as to the source’s historical value.

3. Use the worksheets to analyze each group of primary source documents.
   For each group of sources, you get three worksheets. Use the Study the Document worksheets to take notes on each source. Use the Comparing the Documents worksheet to decide which of the sources would be most useful to a historian.

4. As a class, debate the documents.
   Use your worksheet notes to help you take part in this debate.

5. Do the final DBQ.
   “DBQ” means “document-based question.” A DBQ is a question along with several primary source documents. To answer the DBQ, write an essay using evidence from the documents and your own background history knowledge. The DBQ is on page 22.
Ancient Greek Democracy

The first great civilizations grew up in fertile valleys along major rivers. Egypt had the Nile. The early Mesopotamian cultures arose along the Tigris and Euphrates. The Indus civilization was centered on the river of that name. Ancient China appeared along the Yellow River. These rivers provided water for farming. Their floods spread fertile soil regularly over the land. The need to control these rivers with dams, canals, dikes, and other irrigation works led people to form complex, organized societies. A powerful ruler or government was needed to regulate this kind of river-based agricultural order.

Ancient Greece was different. Mountains divide it into many separate regions. Its rocky hills and narrow valleys forced the Greeks to look outward to the sea, to trade, to the islands of the Aegean Sea, and to the many other cultures along the coasts of the Mediterranean. The Greeks would learn much from these other civilizations. Yet they always seemed to create something new out of what they learned. Great epics, written history, drama, philosophy, science, mathematics, medicine—all these and more were a part of the great burst of creativity that took place in ancient Greece.

Ancient Greece is not famous for its great wealth or power. It is famous, above all, for its ideas, its art, and its emphasis on human striving. The ancient Greeks told dramatic stories about their gods. What these myths illustrate is the human quality of the gods, as well as the god-like abilities and ambitions of various human heroes. The myths express a sense that human beings are not that different from the gods, and that both can strive and achieve at the highest level.

Ancient Greece never united into a single nation. That was partly due to its geography. The Greek cities were cut off from one another by mountains and coastlines. Because of this they remained small, separate states. These city-states went through many political changes, and a form of democracy developed in some. The most important democracy was at Athens. At the height of its power, Athens was ruled by elected officials and an assembly of citizens. The assemblies met in public spaces out in the open. In such settings, citizens learned to speak their minds and take pride in their freedom and independence.

Why did democracy develop in ancient Greece? There are many reasons for this. A major one was Greek warfare. The Greek city-states were often at war with one another. At first, warfare was carried mainly by wealthy nobles rich enough to own horses. But over time, Greeks learned to fight in organized formations of foot soldiers called hoplites. Less wealthy men could afford the sword, shield, and helmet needed for such fighting. And even poor men could join Greek naval forces as rowers in Greece’s famous triremes. The growing importance of such soldiers and sailors helped win them greater power and say as citizens.

In Athens and other Greek city-states, free citizens had important rights. But this did not include everyone. Women, for example, could not vote or hold office. In fact, they took very little part in public life at all. Also, foreigners in Greek city-states usually had no political rights.

Slavery was also a part of life in ancient Greece, as it was in every early civilization. Prisoners of war from all over the Mediterranean were enslaved and put to work in households, mines, and shops in the Greek city-states. In the city-state of Sparta, an entire class of farmers—the “Helots”—were serfs who belonged to the state and had no real freedom. Yet the warlike Spartans themselves were equal citizens within their city-state, and Spartan women were among the most free in Greece.

Some historians say the Greek citizen’s love of liberty was strong in part because he could see so many unfree people around him. Does this mean Greek democracy was too flawed to be considered true democracy? This lesson’s sources will help you discuss and debate this question.
From about 2200 to 1500 BCE, Minoan civilization thrives on Crete. From 1600–1200 BCE, Mycenaean civilization flourishes mainly on the Greek mainland. Both cultures will influence Classical Greek civilization. From 1300 to 1200 BCE, Mycenaean palace culture is at its height.

A time of upheaval, migration, invasion, and disruption sets in throughout the Aegean region (and elsewhere). During this “Dark Age,” most Mycenaean palaces and other sites are destroyed and the population declines.

Agriculture and population start to recover. Iron begins to be used in tools and weapons. Greeks begin to trade with and learn from many other Mediterranean and Near Eastern cultures.

Sometime around 750–700 BCE, the Homeric epics are written down. The Greeks begin to write again, now using a Phoenician alphabet modified with vowels. City-states begin to form. Some are monarchies. Others are ruled by wealthy social elites. A concept of citizenship grows in which all of a city-state’s free-born people, even free-born poor, are seen as equal and entitled to some share of political rights. Major city-states begin to found colonies all around the Mediterranean and Black Seas. In 776 BCE, the Olympic games begin, providing a sense of unity among all Greeks.

Possibly in 621 BCE, Draco draws up a law code for Athens, which is written down and posted in public. The laws are very harsh—hence today’s word “draconian.”

In 594 BCE, Solon rewrites Athens’ law code to ease harsh treatment of indebted farmers and other poor people. This helps reduce social tensions. During this century, the philosophers Thales and Anaximander of Miletus offer purely rational or naturalistic explanations of the natural world. Miletus is a Greek city-state on the coast of Asia Minor (present-day Turkey). In 546 BCE, Persia invades and conquers the Greek city-states along that west coast of Asia Minor. In Athens in 508 BCE, after a time of rule by tyrants, Cleisthenes reforms and deepens Athenian democracy.

The Persian Wars take place from 490 to 479 BCE. The Persians are finally defeated in 479 by the Athenian navy at Salamis and on land at the battle at Plataea. The Delian League of city-states led by Athens organizes to thwart any new Persian attack. From 461 to 429 BCE, Pericles leads Athens during the high point of its power and influence. Democracy reaches its fullest development at this time. From 431 to 404 BCE, Athens and Sparta and their allies war against each other in the Peloponnesian War. In 404 BCE, Athens surrenders to Sparta. The war is the setting for bitter political conflicts in Athens. A reign of terror there under the Thirty Tyrants (404–403 BCE) is followed by a restored but shaken democracy.

In part due to political tensions in Athens, the philosopher Socrates is accused of treason and executed in 399 BCE. His most famous pupil is Plato (428–348 BCE). Plato lives during a time when Greece’s city-states continue to war with one another and grow weaker. He writes critically about democracy. In 338 BCE, Philip II of Macedonia defeats a Greek alliance and ends the age of completely independent city-states in ancient Greece.
In the fifth century BCE, some 30,000 or 40,000 citizens in Athens enjoyed equality before the law and many political rights as well. Full citizenship was granted to male children of free-born Athenian parents. These males had to complete military training to be able to vote. Council members, jurors, and other officials were chosen by lot and paid with public funds. Athens was the largest city-state. Keep in mind that the other ancient Greek city-states may have had different kinds of citizenship rules.

Document 1. In special elections in Athens, each citizen could scratch one name on a shard of pottery such as this. The name with the most votes would be banished from the city for a period of years. The shard was called an “ostracon,” which gave us the term “ostracism.”

Document 2 is a model of an Athenian trireme of the fifth century BCE. Many poor men were used as rowers on these warships. The need for such rowers may have helped persuade Athens to grant citizenship rights to poor free-born men.
In some ways, political life in ancient Greece might seem more democratic than most democracies today. In other ways, it seems less democratic. For one thing, the city-states were not wealthy. Most people were poor farmers or laborers. A small wealthy group usually had more say—even when all free, native-born men were citizens, as in Athens. Secondly, neither women nor foreign residents had political rights. And many city-states were trading societies with large numbers of foreign-born residents. Finally, slaves had no rights at all. Slaves worked in homes, shops, and mines. They were often prisoners taken in war and were of many different nationalities. By the fifth century, they were a third or more of the population of some city-states.

**Document 3.** These are examples of ancient Greece’s red-figured pottery of the fifth century BCE. On the left are some peasants going to market. On the right, a slave is working in a mine.
Study the Documents: Visual Sources 1 & 2

Instructions: Take notes on these questions. Use your notes to discuss the documents and answer the DBQ.

1 Main Idea—Doc. 1

In what way is this shard of pottery evidence of democracy in ancient Greece? (Be sure to read the information provided with the photo.)

2 Main Idea—Doc. 2

In what way does this model help explain the rise of democracy in ancient Greece? (Be sure to read the information provided with the photo.)

3 Interpreting Meanings

When someone was ostracized in a vote in Athens, his property was not taken away and he could return after ten years. One writer says ostracism is a “fundamental instinct of all democratic peoples: The need, now and then, to bring low some person of high status.” Do you think this writer is correct? Why or why not?

4 What Else Can You Infer?

What is suggested or implied in the documents? For example, from both of these visuals together, what can you infer about the overall attitudes of Athenians toward their city and its leaders?
Study the Document: Visual Source 3

Instructions: Take notes on these questions. Use your notes to discuss the documents and answer the DBQ.

1 Main Idea — Doc. 3
Write a one- or two-sentence caption for this illustration to be used in a textbook on ancient Greek democracy.

2 What Else Can You Infer?
For example, what attitudes about peasants and slaves do these images suggest? What else can you infer about ancient Greek artistic ideals from this artwork? About its social life? About its wealth and economic life?

3 Compare and Contrast
Does this visual source mainly clash with the other two sources (Visual Source Documents 1 & 2)? Or do all three sources fit together in some way in helping to show what ancient Greek democracy was like? Explain your answer.
Comparing the Documents

The Visual Sources

Answer the question by checking one box below. Then complete the statements on the Comparison Essay worksheet. Use all your notes to help you take part in an all-class debate about these documents—and to answer the final DBQ for the lesson.

Which of these primary source documents would be most useful to a historian trying to understand how democratic ancient Greece really was?

Documents 1 & 2  [ ]  Document 3  [ ]
Comparison Essay

I chose Document(s) _______ because:

_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________

I did not choose Document(s) _______. However, a historian still might use the document(s) in the following way:

_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________

KEEP THIS IN MIND: Some sources are very biased. A biased source is one that shows you only one side of an issue. That is, it takes a clear stand or expresses a very strong opinion about something. A biased source may be one-sided, but it can still help you to understand its time period. For example, a biased editorial cartoon may show how people felt about an issue at the time. The usefulness of a source depends most of all on what questions you ask about that time in the past.
Our form of government does not enter into rivalry with the institutions of others. Our government does not copy our neighbors’, but is an example to them. It is true that we are called a democracy, for the administration is in the hands of the many and not of the few. But while there exists equal justice to all and alike in their private disputes, the claim of excellence is also recognized; and when a citizen is in any way distinguished, he is preferred to the public service, not as a matter of privilege, but as the reward of merit. Neither is poverty an obstacle, but a man may benefit his country whatever the obscurity of his condition. There is no exclusiveness in our public life, and in our private business we are not suspicious of one another, nor angry with our neighbor if he does what he likes; we do not put on sour looks at him which, though harmless, are not pleasant. While we are thus unconstrained in our private business, a spirit of reverence pervades our public acts; we are prevented from doing wrong by respect for the authorities and for the laws....

Then, again, our military training is in many respects superior to that of our adversaries. Our city is thrown open to the world, though and we never expel a foreigner and prevent him from seeing or learning anything of which the secret if revealed to an enemy might profit him. We rely not upon management or trickery, but upon our own hearts and hands. And in the matter of education, whereas they from early youth are always undergoing laborious exercises which are to make them brave, we live at ease, and yet are equally ready to face the perils which they face.
Plato was an Athenian citizen born in 428 BCE, a year after Pericles died. He grew up during the Peloponnesian War and the troubled times that followed. He admired and was probably a student of the philosopher Socrates. After the brief rule in Athens of a small group called the Thirty Tyrants (404–403), democracy was restored. Socrates was seen as too severe a critic of Athenian society. In 399, he was tried and executed for having “corrupted the youth of Athens.” This unjust execution may have helped shape Plato’s own attitudes toward democracy. This passage from Plato’s *The Republic* uses the metaphor of a ship to express those attitudes.

Imagine something like this occurring on a ship or a fleet of ships. The owner of the vessel is bigger and stronger than anyone else on board, but he is hard of hearing, can’t see well, and he doesn’t know how to navigate a ship. The sailors all fight over who should be at the helm, and every one of them thinks he ought to be the skipper—even though they have never learned the navigator’s craft, cannot name any instructor who has taught them, nor indicate any time when they underwent training. They insist, in fact, that there is no craft of navigation that could be taught, and they are ready to rip to shreds anyone who maintains that there is.

They’re always all over the owner of the vessel, begging, and doing everything to get him to turn the helm over to them. Sometimes, when others are at the helm, they kill them or throw them overboard. Then they run the ship, having dulled the owner with wine or drugs or in some other way. They use up the vessel’s supplies and sail along in a way that can be expected from such people. Anyone capable of talking the owner into letting him steer the boat is called by him a ‘navigator’ or ‘expert of ships.’ Anybody else is dismissed as of no use. They haven’t got a clue that a real captain must know about the seasons, the sky, the stars, the winds, and everything else about ships if he is to be in genuine command of the vessel. And they don’t think that there is an art that empowers the captain to decide where to direct the ship, regardless of whether the others feel like going there or not. And they do not believe that one can master that art.
Instructions: Take notes on these questions. Use your notes to discuss the documents and answer the DBQ.

1 Main Idea or Topic
What one sentence best sums up what Pericles sees as best about Athenian democracy? Explain the sentence in your own words.

2 Author, Audience, Purpose
The Greek historian Thucydides recorded this oration based on reports he had of it. Given this fact, do you think this makes the oration more or less valuable as a primary source on ancient Greek democracy? Why?

3 Background Knowledge
What do you know about Athens and Sparta? Based on what you know, explain what Pericles means when he says “whereas they from early youth are always undergoing laborious exercises which are to make them brave, we live at ease, and yet are equally ready to face the perils which they face.”

4 Interpreting Meanings
At several points, Pericles comments on other Greek city-states and on their attitudes toward Athens. Why do you think he makes these references and comparisons? What does this tell you about ancient Greece?
Instructions: Take notes on these questions. Use your notes to discuss the documents and answer the DBQ.

### Main Idea or Topic

Briefly explain Plato’s ship metaphor. What exactly is the ship supposed to stand for? Who are the sailors supposed to be? What point does Plato make by the way he describes these sailors?

### Interpreting Meanings

Plato criticizes the sailors for saying “there is no craft of navigation” and for doubting that “there is an art that empowers the captain to decide where to direct the ship.” What point is he making here about democracy and about leadership in society?

Also, do you think there is a difference between a “craft of navigation” and an art of deciding “where to direct the ship”?

### Compare and Contrast

Choose to be either Plato or Pericles. As this figure, write a brief response to the other’s views about democracy. Then, explain briefly why you chose to be one figure and not the other.
Comparing the Documents

The Written Sources

Answer the question by checking one box below. Then complete the statements on the Comparison Essay worksheet. Use all your notes to help you take part in an all-class debate about these documents—and to answer the final DBQ for the lesson.

Which of these primary source documents would be most useful to a historian trying to understand how democratic ancient Greece really was?

- Document 1
  A part of the famous Funeral Oration by Pericles as recorded by the Greek historian Thucydides.

- Document 2
  A passage from Plato’s “The Republic,” using the metaphor of a ship to express his views on democracy.
Comparison Essay

I chose Document ______ because:

_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________

I did not choose Document ______. However, a historian still might use the document in the following way:

_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________

KEEP THIS IN MIND: Some sources are very biased. A biased source is one that shows you only one side of an issue. That is, it takes a clear stand or expresses a very strong opinion about something. A biased source may be one-sided, but it can still help you to understand its time period. For example, a biased editorial cartoon may show how people felt about an issue at the time. The usefulness of a source depends most of all on what questions you ask about that time in the past.
Document-Based Question

Your task is to answer a document-based question (DBQ) on democracy in ancient Greece. In a DBQ, you use your analysis of primary source documents and your knowledge of history to write a brief essay answering the question. Using all four sets of documents, answer this question. Below are two DBQs. The first is somewhat less demanding than the second. Use whichever DBQ your teacher assigns.

1. “Greek democracy was not ‘flawed.’ It was a tremendous triumph if you view it in the context of its own time in history.”
   Do you agree or disagree? Explain your answer.

2. Using these sources and your background knowledge, explain the relationship between Greek democracy, on the one hand, and the military needs and social class divisions of Greek city-states. Specifically, how could all of these things co-exist?

Below is a checklist of key suggestions for writing a DBQ essay. Next to each item, jot down a few notes to guide you in writing the DBQ. Use extra sheets to write a four- or five-paragraph essay.

**Introductory Paragraph**
Does the paragraph clarify the DBQ itself? Does it present a clear thesis, or overall answer, to that DBQ?

**The Internal Paragraphs — 1**
Are these paragraphs organized around main points with details supporting those main ideas? Do all these main ideas support the thesis in the introductory paragraph?

**The Internal Paragraphs — 2**
Are all of your main ideas and key points linked in a logical way? That is, does each idea follow clearly from those that went before? Does it add something new and helpful in clarifying your thesis?

**Use of Primary Source Documents**
Are they simply mentioned in a “laundry list” fashion? Or are they used thoughtfully to support main ideas and the thesis?

**Concluding Paragraph**
Does it restate the DBQ and thesis in a way that sums up the main ideas without repeating old information or going into new details?
Additional Sources Online

NOTE TO THE TEACHER: If you are using these materials with an AP world history class, an honors class or some other group of advanced and/or more knowledgable students, you may want to make more written sources available to them on this topic. Below are notes on several other sources, all of which are available on the Internet. The most recent URL for each source is provided. Most of the sources are quite brief. Some are a few pages in length. Together they will provide several additional perspectives on the topic of this booklet. All of the following links may be found at www.socialstudies.com/debatingworld.html

Additional Written Sources on How Democratic Were the Ancient Greeks?

1. Solon (638-558 BCE) was an Athenian leader whose reforms sought to prevent disorder by dealing with a growing gap in Athens between the rich and the many citizens in debt to those rich. Solon’s reforms did not bring about that much change, but he is seen as offering founding ideas that provided a basis for a future Athenian democracy. These fragments attributed to Solon are hard to interpret, but they do give some sense of what he hoped to achieve.

2. Here are some summaries and brief accounts of the practice of ostracism. Read the descriptions of the practice by Philochorus and Aristotle in particular.
http://www.csun.edu/~hcfll004/ostracism.html

3. The Greek historian Herodotus (c.490-c.425 BCE) writes here about Sparta. He does this in the form of a dialogue between an exiled king of Sparta, Demaratus, and Xerxes, Emperor of Persia. Many today think of the militarized state of Sparta as the opposite of democratic Athens. In this dialogue, however, Demartus argues that the key issue is freedom under the rule of law in Sparta (and Athens) versus being subjects under the rule of an all-powerful king or emperor in Persia. Keep in mind that Herodotus could not have actually recorded this dialogue. There is no way to know how accurate his version of it is – except that it is accurate as to how Herodotus himself felt.
http://people.westminstercollege.edu/faculty/mmmarkowski/212/3/demara.html

4. Ancient Greek democracy was real, but so also was a sizable slave population that could not take part in it. Democratic rights were not extended to women either. As in all ancient civilizations, men were held in higher regard than women. Here Xenophon (431-355 BCE), a Greek writer and admirer of Socrates, provides an account of a conversation with his own wife on why the public sphere is for men.
http://www.fordham.edu/halsall/ancient/xenophon-genderroles.html
Worksheet Answers and Guidelines

Some worksheet questions call for specific answers to factual questions. In these cases, correct answers are provided here. Most worksheet questions are open-ended and call on students to offer their own interpretations and personal reactions. In those cases, we offer suggestions based on the purpose of the question and the sort of interpretive activity it calls for.

Worksheet 1
Visual Sources 1 & 2
1. The power of ostracism may have been a meaningful popular check on powerful leaders.

2. Athen’s military technologies required strongly committed citizens and may have made it easier for them to gain political rights.

3. Answers and opinions may vary.

4. A strong sense of patriotism, a readiness to criticize those in authority, etc.

Worksheet 2
Visual Source 3
1. The caption should refer to both images in describing social divisions in Athenian society.

2. They show peasant and slave performing very simple, manual labor; they show a typical Greek depiction of the human form realistically; they do not stress the harshness of the conditions under which these people labored, or give any sense of a problem with their treatment; etc.

3. Answers will vary and students could stress either of the views presented here. Evaluate their responses on the basis of how well they ground their views in specifics in the visual sources.

Worksheet 3
Written Source 1
1. Choices will vary.

2. Some might see this as throwing doubt on the speech’s accuracy, but others might say it still reflects the thinking of Greeks such as Pericles and Thucydides.

3. Spartan youth were taken from their families and trained as soldiers constantly from a very early age.

4. This part of the speech could be seen as reflecting clearly the variety and independence of ancient Greece’s many city-states, etc.

Worksheet 4
Written Source 2
1. The ship stands for the state or the nation as a whole, the sailors are ordinary people who are clearly too poorly trained and too uninformed to be running the ship.

2. Plato sees leadership as needing to be based on understanding and knowledge. Under democracy, he feels no such leadership is possible. On the second question here, students might disagree as to whether political leadership is a knowledge-based skill similar to the craft of navigation.

3. This is a task of creative interpretation. Evaluate the reasoning students use in what they write and share their work in a class discussion.